SINGLE BENCH (S)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [S] NO. 7394 /2006

PETITIONER :- Salik Ram S/o Dumar Ram, aged about 57 years, Revenue Inspector, Circle Patna, District Korea [C.G.] R/o Baikunthpur, District Korea [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :- 1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through- Secretary, Department D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur [C.G.]

2] Collector, Korea Baikunthpur, District Korea [C.G.]

3] Sub Divisional Officer [Revenue] Baikunthpur, District Korea [C.G.]

RECEIVED-COPY 19/11/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

9/06

(37)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7394 OF 2006

Petitioner : Salik Ram

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. J.K.Shastri, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 5th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier filed a writ petition, being Writ Petition No.4 of 2006, seeking for the same relief, as sought for in this writ petition. Writ Petition No.4 of 2006 was disposed of by this Court by order dated 4-1-2006 (Annexure P-9). This Court, after having considered submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and also having perused the records, came to the conclusion that ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authorities/respondents. The petitioner was granted liberty to file a representation, which was to be decided within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation.

(38)

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits that the representation has already been filed on 31-1-2006 (Annexure P-10), which remains undecided till date.

3. Writ jurisdiction cannot be invoked where the identical dispute and prayer have been entertained and disposed of by this Court in Writ Petition No.4 of 2006. However, if any other remedy is available to the petitioner, the petitioner is at liberty to take the appropriate steps for doing the needful.

4. The petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal